

A Conceptual Model for Adoption of BIM in Construction Projects: ADKAR as an Integrative Model of Change Management

[Ayham A.M. Jaaron](#) , [Ihab Hamzi Hijazi](#) & [Khader Issa Yousef Musleh](#)

Abstract

The use of Building Information Modeling (BIM) technology has facilitated storage and reuse of information knowledge throughout the lifecycle of construction projects. Despite its benefits, the construction industry has witnessed several obstacles in adopting BIM technology in terms of people management. This paper aims at investigating how ADKAR model of change management (an acronym for five different stages needed for effective lasting people change: awareness, desire, knowledge, ability, and reinforcement) can contribute to successful adoption of BIM in the construction sector. This study was conducted in two main stages: a rigorous literature review process, where challenges of adopting BIM technology and characteristics of the ADKAR model of change were identified. This was followed with data collection using eight in-depth interviews with construction project managers; data were analyzed following Bryman and Bell's (2007) steps for thematic analysis technique. The results from interviews combined with results of literature review contribute to the development of an integrated conceptual model of change management. The conceptual model identifies two main phases of determinants for successful change management process towards the adoption of BIM technology using ADKAR model. Firstly, engineers as designers with different specialist (i.e., architectural, civil, electrical, and mechanical), engineers as contractors, and clients require the enhancement of all five dimensions of ADKAR for a lasting change. Secondly, government requires the enhancement of three main dimensions of ADKAR model, namely awareness, desire, and reinforcement.

Keywords: Implementation strategy, technology, change Management; ADKAR; BIM; Construction Sector; Integrative Model; Conceptual Model.

1. Introduction

Construction industry is one of the most important sectors contributing to the economy of any country (Lindblada and Vassa, 2015). It includes several branches such as building construction, infrastructure construction, and industrial construction. However, the construction sector is one of the biggest fragmented industries in the World (Isikdag et al., 2007). Any construction project contains activities from planning, design drawings, time scheduling, cost estimation, and bidding to the execution and maintenance of the project. This would imply that mutual communication between stakeholders in the project is a complex process (Bryde et al., 2013). Attempts have been made on improving mutual communication and productivity in construction projects through utilization of information and communication technologies. One of these technologies that have been the center of the focus is the BIM (Azhar et al., 2014). Due to the offerings of the BIM technology, the coordination between stakeholders can be improved through the use of shared design models. BIM is a tool that provides storage and reuse of overall building information throughout the lifecycle

of the project (Vanlande et al., 2008). It allows for on-demand retrieval and management of information between different stages of the construction project by stakeholders. Hence, BIM unlocks more efficient methods of designing, creating, and executing projects integrally.

Despite the well documented benefits of BIM adoption, the construction industry has been reluctant in implementing the BIM work paradigm as it is still dependent on traditional document-based methods (Liao and Teo, 2018). Recent research suggests that slow implementation of BIM in construction projects is caused by stakeholder's resistance to change (Musleh, 2018). According to Juan et al. (2017), source of resistance to BIM among participants in construction projects is due to the fact that different participants have to adapt to a new delivery process away from their comfort zones. Thus, people management of BIM adoption process is key for successful uptake of BIM (Liao and Teo, 2018). However, there seems to be severe lack of knowledge on what types of human-side changes that are required to accompany implementation of BIM in construction projects if the benefits of BIM are to be met (Lindblad and Vass, 2015). This is further confirmed by recent BIM research that is mainly focused on tackling technical difficulties of implementing the technology (e.g., Grilo and Jardim-Goncalves 2010; Hallberg and Tarandi 2011), and more widely on identifying the benefits of using BIM technology (e.g. Barlish and Sullivan 2012). Based on this, this paper aims at closing this gap by investigating how ADKAR model of people change management can contribute to successful adoption of BIM in the construction sector. ADKAR is an acronym that stands for five stages required for effective people change: awareness, desire, knowledge, ability and reinforcement. ADKAR model provides a tool for change that is effective on an individual level. The model is also designed to help groups of people and the entire organisation to navigate successfully through change (Calder, 2013). The five stages covered by ADKAR model must be leveraged sequentially during the change process for best possible outcomes. The power of the ADKAR model lies on its ability to focus on the first dimension out of the five dimensions that is the root cause of failure of change (Hancock, 2010; Boca, 2013). The paper suggests a conceptual model for using the ADKAR model of change to enhance BIM implementation in construction projects through two main phases of determinants for successful change management process. Firstly, engineers as designers with different specialist (i.e., architectural, civil, electrical, and mechanical), engineers as contractors, and clients require the enhancement of all five dimensions of ADKAR for a lasting change. Secondly, government requires the enhancement of three main dimensions of ADKAR model, namely awareness, desire, and reinforcement as it is the responsibility of

engineering firms to offer training for their engineers that can equip them with right level of knowledge and ability to use BIM technology. Therefore, the research question to be answered in this study is: “How does the ADKAR model of change management enhance BIM adoption process in construction projects?”.

This paper is subdivided into four sections. Section two “Literature Review” introduces a literature review and summarizes studies that addressed the BIM, change management, and ADKAR model of change. The third section explains the proposed conceptual model to be applied on construction projects. Finally, the last section summarizes the main outcomes of the research in addition to proposing future studies.

2. Literature review

2.1 Obstacles to managing people in the process of BIM Adoption

Adopting BIM requires changing the traditional way of mindset of work into creative innovations (Gu and London, 2010). By applying BIM, new tasks and processes have to be adopted by designers, contractors, and other stakeholders in the construction project. Hence, to accept BIM effectively, all stakeholder of a construction project should understand the usefulness of adopting BIM to agree with the change. However, this process of human-side change is faced with numerous obstacles (Liao and Teo, 2018). According to Gamil and Rahman (2019), the main challenges facing the implementation of BIM technology in Yemen Construction sector are related to people change management issues including improper introduction of BIM technology to construction project participants, and, thus, lack of awareness of employees about the benefits that can be reaped from this technology. Authors also explained that government support and enforcement of BIM in the construction industry can play a major role in mitigating people management issues during the change process. Similarly, Diaz (2016) explained that fear of the unknown of outcomes generated by BIM coupled with lack of desire to transform project practices constitute major hindrances to the BIM adoption efforts in the construction industry. This was asserted by Hamid et al. (2018) who found that Malaysian construction industry need to improve awareness and knowledge of BIM through proper BIM training to remove critical barriers of its implementation.

In this regard, the theory of the technology acceptance model (TAM) was widely used in literature to understand factors affecting BIM adoption in the construction industry (e.g., Lee et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2015; Ramanayaka and Venkatachalam, 2015; Batarseh and Kamardeen, 2017). The theory TAM, introduced by Davis (1989), is focused on how individual users come to accept and utilise a technology. It explains that an individual’s intentions for use of new technology is primarily influenced by individual’s perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness (Manis and Choi, 2019). For instance, Yuan et al. (2019) used TAM’s dimensions of individuals’ ease of use and perception of usefulness of BIM technology to investigate the factors affecting construction project owners’ BIM adoption in

the Chinese context. Authors found that BIM technical offerings and government BIM policies have positive influence on individuals' perceived usefulness, and that social influence of authoritative colleagues and BIM technical offerings have positive influence on perceived ease of use. Also, Batarseh and Kamardeen (2017), in their study of the use of TAM for BIM adoption in the construction industry, found that individual's willingness to accept and use BIM technology is strongly linked with awareness of individuals about usefulness of BIM technology, how easy the use of BIM technology, social influence in enhancing skills required, and availability of facilitating conditions related to organisational infrastructure to reinforce adoption. Similarly, Sanchís-Pedregosa et al. (2020) examined factors affecting adoption of BIM technology in Peruvian Construction industry using the theory of TAM. Authors found that perceived usefulness is the most significant factor affecting individuals' behavioral intentions to use BIM. Despite its usefulness in identifying factors supporting adoption of BIM, the theory of TAM has some limitations as it does not reflect a variety of specific factors to deal with individuals' task environments constraints (Yuan et al., 2019); such as individual's skills promotion and desire to support the BIM adoption. According to Legris et al. (2003), TAM model lacks variables related to supporting human-side change necessary for enhancing technology acceptance. This was supported by Criminale and Langar (2017) who studied 36 barriers of implementing BIM technology in the Unites states' construction industry. Authors found that majority of those barriers were related to people management issues at construction project organizational level. The most pressing challenges they found were fear of unknowns of BIM, employees' belief that BIM is waste of time and resources, lack of awareness about what BIM is and what it can deliver, lack of ability of using the software, and weakness of management support for the new technology due to costs associated with it. Whereas Meganathan and Nandhini (2018) reported that lack of experiences, knowledge, skills, trained employees, and absence of change management models are the main challenges for adopting BIM in the Indian construction industry. At a more subtle level, Zahrizan et al. (2013) identified that unwillingness to change, and apparently resistance to change, were main barrier to BIM adoption in Malaysia, and attribute this to shortfall of proper change management models to deal with this resistance in the construction industry. Also, Kiani et al. (2015) pointed out that resistance to change and unsupportive culture of BIM implementation in the Iranian context require integrated models of change management that can enhance stakeholders' willingness and enthusiasm to use BIM technology. Musleh (2018) stated that apart from financial and technological difficulties, BIM implementation should be studied from the perspective of change management and organizational change theories to deal with barriers related to people management during the change process. This was emphasized by Liao and Teo (2018) who explained that people management in the BIM implementation process should be approached using organizational change management theories. The current paper intents to respond to this need by investigating the offerings of the theory of ADKAR model of change management to the BIM implementation in the construction industry.

2.2 ADKAR model of change management

Gover and Duxbury (2012) defined organizational change as the process of adjusting the organization to changes in the surrounding environment. Thus, it is a process of departing away from a familiar state to a new unfamiliar state (Canning and Found, 2015; Allaoui and Benmoussa, 2020). It is the work of Kurt Lewin that coined the concept of organizational change through the development of his “field theory” (Burnes and Cooke, 2013). The field theory examines individuals’ patterns of interaction with his/her surrounding environment (Lewin, 1951). This conceptualisation has also given prominence for the intergroup relations at the workplace where the individual belongs; also known as social groups (Lehmann, 2017). According to Lewin (1947), interpreting change requires the understanding of psychological forces and actions of individuals within their social groups. Accordingly, and based on Lewin’s (1951) theory, organisational change will achieve greatest success when there is a connection between individuals’ perception and collective meaning. This view has fuelled the development of “coordinated management of meaning” theory by Pearce and Cronen (1980) which portrays the role of communication as vital in mediating the way in which individuals comprehend, understand, and identify with organisational practices and procedural changes. As pointed by Kotter and Schlesinger (1979), communication efforts with individuals and within groups in organisations is not always a guarantor in order to make sense of the changes collectively, and this often run into some levels of human resistance. Therefore, a major problem in the organizational adjustment process is people lack of readiness for change (Thakur and Srivastava, 2018). In this situation, change management is required to manage organizational change projects successfully (Lee et al., 2017; Maes and Van Hootegem, 2019). Change management can be defined as an integral set of processes and techniques to effectively manage human resources throughout the entire organizational change project (Hiatt, 2004). Hiatt and Creasey (2012) explained that individuals is the starting point for change. So, to achieve a successful change for groups of employees and the organization as a whole, all individuals within the organisation must change. In fact, the process of changing individuals to support organizational change, such as BIM adoption, is not straightforward, but can be viewed as a complex process of human development (Liao and Teo, 2018). According to Karp and Helgo (2008), this process of human development during change is not an easy journey of moving from one stable step to another, but a journey that requires careful exploitation of supportive models. Among these models are Lewin’s three-step model of unfreeze, change, and refreeze (Lewin, 1947), and Kotter’s eight-step

model (Kotter, 1996). Both of these models are based on predictable, reducible steps that allow managers to move from a current state toward a future state. However, these two models have been criticized for not being able to track progress of the change transformation among individuals. They also lack considering personal feelings and experiences which may lead to a division among the group (Kreitner and Kinicki, 2007; Hussain et al, 2016). In this research, ADKAR model of change will be considered as it focuses on dimensions of change process that are effective on an individual level (Hiatt, 2006; Budiwati and Langi, 2013). These same dimensions are designed to be applied to larger groups of people or even the entire organization (Hiatt and Creasey, 2012). The power of this model lies in its ability to identify the root cause of people change failure, and where the change process is breaking down (Boca, 2013). Therefore, it focuses the attention on actions that will yield the highest chance of change success (Budiwati and Langi, 2013). ADKAR represents five steps in the change process and must be done in sequence to achieve the intended change. Hiatt and Creasey (2012) explain these steps as the following:

- 1) Awareness of the need of change: in this first stage individuals must be given enough information about what needs to change and the reason behind the change. Questions that would come into one's mind are: "What are the risks if individuals are not going to change? And what are the reason for change?" Having clear answer for these questions can leverage individuals' awareness and will help them develop a desire to change (Calder, 2013).
- 2) Desire to participate and change: Once awareness of individuals is built around what needs to be changed, then it is vital to leverage their desire to support the change and be involved with it. This second stage is critically important as it can contain resistance against the change. "A common mistake made by many business leaders is to assume that by building awareness of the need for change they have also created desire" (Hiatt, 2006). Hiatt and Creasey (2012) sees that a question of "What must be done to create desire?" should be asked during the change process. To create adequate levels of desire among individuals, past organisational success stories can be utilised, in addition to illustrating how the change will benefit individuals (Calder, 2013).
- 3) Knowledge on how to change: this stage involved sharing detailed information with individuals about how to create the change. Such information should include details about elements of the surrounding environment that will be replaced and the type of systems and behaviours that will be implemented as part of the change program (Budiwati and

Langi, 2013). Sharing such information is important as it will allow individuals to start to conceptualise the things they need to do (Calder, 2013).

- 4) Ability to implement required skills: This stage involves actual implementation of the knowledge gathered in the previous step on reality. Thus, it is imperative at this stage that individuals are given an adequate level of supervision and mentoring to help them implement actions correctly (Hiatt and Creasey, 2012). Therefore, ability could be achieved through implementing the new procedure and by additional coaching, practice, and time.
- 5) Reinforcement to sustain the change: at this final stage individuals need to know if what they are doing is delivering the desired outcomes. Hence, a major part of this stage is supervisors' reward of individuals' desirable behaviour and actions. Individuals who face difficulties in creating the change will only keep following the new actions of the change program when their progress is recognised and acknowledged (Calder, 2013).

Apparently, when this model is applied to organizational change, it will allow managers to focus their efforts on actions that will enhance individual change and therefore achieve organizational targets (Kiani et al., 2015), which is in the case of this research adopting the BIM technology in construction projects.

3. Methods and findings

Data collection in this study was initially conducted using a rigorous literature review process. The review of literature allowed for the identification of main challenges of adopting BIM technology in the construction industry, and it also allowed for capturing the virtues of the ADKAR Model and its power in identifying and focusing on the root cause of change failure. Further, qualitative data were also collected through eight face-to-face in-depth interviews with construction project managers and practitioners in the Palestinian construction industry. Each interview lasted for 50 minutes on average. Interviews were taped, recorded, and transcribed as soon as they were completed. To ensure reliability of interviews' data, a guiding protocol was utilised as a backup to help in following major concepts during interviews. The thematic analysis approach introduced by Taylor and Bogdan (1984) was used to analyze the interviews' data. This process of data analysis followed Bryman and Burgess's (2007) steps. These steps are explained as follows:

- First, reading through transcripts of interviews to identify leading theoretical topics available.
- Second, leading theoretical topics, also known as codes, were then used to list a set of topics that represent a general meaning of what has been said in the interviews.
- Third, revising transcripts to find codes with common basic themes.
- Finally, themes with affinity were identified are then clustered together to form central themes.

The main codes devised from interviews and the central themes generated are shown in Table 1. Two central themes were found, and these are explained below.

Table 1- From codes to central themes.

Codes	Issues discussed	Central Themes
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Benefits dissemination • Government role • Education 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Role of benefits in the awareness and desire creation. • Government role in enforcing educational curriculum of BIM. • Engineer’s associations and contractor unions’ role in BIM education. 	<p>Role of BIM advantages dissemination and Education</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Education alone is not enough. • Knowledge and information • training 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meetings with employees to discuss BIM technology. • Knowledge fostering through social media and brochures. • Providing free training for employees 	<p>Managerial tactics at work to facilitate change</p>

3.1 Theme 1: Role of BIM advantages dissemination and Education

The purpose of this theme is to focus on BIM’s benefits for the construction projects and how these benefits can be used to enhance awareness and desire of construction projects’ employees to adopt BIM. Almost all interviewees indicated that BIM has several benefits that must be explained and taught for construction projects’ employees to adopt BIM technology. According to them, knowledge dissemination about BIM benefits should start with government support and enforcement to include BIM in the education curriculum for

engineers and designers. This education can also be supported by offering seminars and educational workshops by engineers' associations and contractors unions. Interviewees asserted that this education could enhance awareness, desire, and most importantly ability of engineers, designers, and contractors to adopt BIM.

3.2 Theme 2: Managerial tactics at work to facilitate change

This theme concentrates on the role that can be played by managers in construction projects in facilitating the change towards BIM technology adoption. Interviewees in this theme indicated that while education and previous knowledge of BIM and its offerings for the construction project is vital, it is alone not enough to facilitate the adoption of BIM. They confirmed that project managers should periodically call for meetings with employees to discuss various emerging technologies, including BIM, to further increase their awareness and desire in using the technology. Interviewees explained that one-to-one meetings can sometimes be more powerful in explaining these offerings for employees by focusing on “what in it for them” that will make their work better. Furthermore, interviewees stated that social media can be employed in this process with employees, in addition to brochures about BIM. However, providing free training for employees through engineers' associations, contractors' unions, and also contracting companies were found by interviewees to be of paramount importance. According to them, training can greatly enhance employees' knowledge and ability to use BIM technology.

4. Proposed Conceptual Model: Towards a Successful BIM Implementation

A conceptual model is suggested to be constructed based on the literature review and the interviews section including the studied thematic analysis. Hence, going deeper inside these provided qualitative data opens the way of how to start the change process towards BIM. In order to change to BIM, ADKAR change management model is needed. All ADKAR components must be studied for different parties including engineering fields. However, if all ADKAR components have to be adopted and one component is adopted well, this will open the next component to be checked consequently. Mostly, the failed component will inhibit the next one to be completed (Lowery and Michael, 2010). Therefore, to adopt BIM well for engineers, all ADKAR components must be accepted for all fields of the construction projects during the building life cycle. Moreover, the researchers concluded from the qualitative analysis that governmental factors are the main critical factors required to push

engineering and contracting companies in addition to the client towards BIM technology adoption.




Figure 1: Conceptual Model for BIM Adoption in Construction Projects

The proposed model shown in Figure 1 is supposed to be implemented for engineering and contracting companies with different engineering fields, in addition to the clients for successfully ensuring adoption of BIM with government help. The model is composed of four main parties to be considered by ADKAR: 1) Engineers as designers with different specialties including Architectural, structural, electrical, and mechanical 2) Engineers as contractors, 3) Clients as main parties to be involved in BIM adoption with government help 4) Government in order to move construction projects towards BIM by the engineers' association, Contractors Union, ministries, and financers as major factors of change. While first and second groups require working with all ADKAR steps, the third group requires working with only two steps of ADKAR: awareness and desire and the fourth group requires working with three steps of ADKAR: awareness, desire, and reinforcement. However, determining the level of intervention for different engineering disciplines and other parties

need further investigation and beyond the scope of the proposed conceptual model. Therefore, the model will be implemented toward BIM adoption for various fields as shown in Figure 1 noting that this framework has been judged by arbitrators to verify its validity.

The first element of the framework starts with government, engineers' associations, contractors' unions, ministries, and financiers as the main contributors to push towards BIM adoption in the construction projects. This group needs awareness and desire to spread the benefits of BIM and its use in construction projects. This includes supporting the projects stakeholders to know what they need to change. Government needs to enforce BIM education and implementation as well as increasing the prices for engineering services. Therefore, engineers request fees for delivering BIM model for projects. Furthermore, impose BIM progressively in law by rejecting traditional working methods. When government is interested in spreading the benefits, education, and trainings of BIM for construction projects stakeholders, adoption of BIM will be efficiently increased. Moreover, educational institutions through government could be leaders in pushing fresh graduates and other stakeholders towards BIM adoption (Bin Zakaria et al, 2013). Furthermore, more educational qualification in contemporary educational institutions leads to a better adoption of BIM (Hatem et al., 2018).

The previous factors will help in converting the traditional system of work in engineering and contracting companies into BIM if they fix their deficiencies and empower their strengths. Engineers either as designer or contractor should work on supporting their Ability to overcome traditional engineering methods and change to BIM. Engineers as designers or contractors must work on awareness, desire, knowledge, ability, and reinforcement in order to overcome conventional engineering working procedures. Every mentioned ADKAR component level must be improved. Developing previous level is needed prior to proceeding to the next level. Subsequently, and after controlling all ADKAR component levels for engineering and contracting companies with all their specialties with the help of government, engineers' associations, contractors' unions, ministries, and financiers as external factors.

The client is the last party in the proposed framework, the client needs to be convinced to accept BIM with all its requirements. The framework concentrates on two ADKAR components for client. The client needs awareness of the benefits that BIM can bring to their

project. Therefore, their desire can be improved and will provide the designer more time without rushing the design office during the work. Furthermore, the client must pay the required fees to develop BIM efficiently. Moreover, the client shall provide the required support to guarantee the success of BIM workflow for all project life cycle.

5. Discussion and Practical Implications

BIM technology is the latest and most promising technology in the construction industry which is more efficient than traditional and fragmented work activities (Eastman et al., 2011). Furthermore, it helps using the same data by different parties at different times. Therefore, any change can be communicated easily and instantaneously across all disciplines (Ekstrom et al., 2004). This will enhance the project time and reduce conflicts between parties when it is applied throughout its full life cycle. It will also improve designers work in the design phase in addition to the contractors' implementation during the execution phase. The significance of this research represents mainly in that it is the first topic to discuss adoption of BIM using ADKAR. The paper aspires showing a prominent shift to move the application from old traditional engineering methods to modern one throughout the project life cycle. It will then be capable to specify the best direction that BIM system could be implemented in engineering and contracting companies with all their disciplines by using the ADKAR model of change when applying the proposed adoption framework. Moreover, the framework discusses BIM implementation in construction projects with different engineering fields.

After deep searching of literatures, and in-depth interviews, several restraints in BIM adoption were noticed. The strengths and weaknesses that prevent BIM adoption were highlighted. Hence, the conceptual model was developed in order to help in overcoming the obstacles inhibiting the BIM application in construction projects including all stakeholders throughout the project life cycle. The framework facilitates BIM implementation of different engineering fields with various positions for the project life cycle with the goal of BIM adoption. Furthermore, the framework tries to convince the engineering and contracting companies with all engineering specialties to adopt BIM, then persuade the client to select the new technology with the help of government, engineers' association, contractors' union, ministries, and donors. The proposed model considers different ADKAR steps for each of the involved parties. The reason for this is the different role that each party needs to play. The client always focuses on time, cost and quality. Therefore, there is a need to get him aware

about BIM benefits. BIM will provide the client a guarantee to reduce conflicts during construction in addition to eliminating errors and clashes. It will help client to be aware of project implementation stages and progress over time. Therefore, the client will be able to follow if his project is implemented on plan in addition to get more realistic cost estimation. Based on this, the client desire will be increased and his support to BIM adoption will be in the same as other parties included in the model. There is no need to consider other ADKAR steps for client. Clients do not need to accumulate knowledge and expertise to understand BIM as well as there is no need to build technical abilities to use BIM.

The model considers that government needs awareness, desire, and reinforcement for BIM adoption. After getting government, including engineers' association and contractors' unions, aware of the BIM importance and build their desire, there is a need to keep reinforcement to encourage the individuals to keep going. This could be supported by linking BIM with urban planning in municipalities and enforcing using BIM in formal license. Government through engineering councils and contractors' unions should force pushing engineers to adopt BIM. This can be realized by driving the engineering firms to supervise projects with all their specialties. Each field must have its private contracts for design and supervision services for all project's stages. According to results, the need to increase the government knowledge and ability to use BIM is not important for this group as it is the responsibility of engineering firms to offer training for their engineers that can equip them with right level of knowledge and ability to use BIM technology. Furthermore, results confirmed that government role is most effective in supporting dissemination of knowledge about BIM benefits and desire to adopt it through devising clear policies for its inclusion in education curriculum for engineer and designers.

All five ADKAR steps were considered to be implemented for all the engineers in the developed model. These five ADKAR steps are needed to support their ability to overcome traditional engineering methods and change to BIM. All ADKAR steps are with the same importance for this party. Conservative engineers need to know the importance of changing their traditional working methods to adopt BIM by providing them proofs and real cases for the benefits that was gained by using BIM. They need to join training courses in order to have abilities to use BIM. Moreover, they need to maintain continues education to keep themselves up to date with any advance that will be taking place in BIM technology. Moreover,

determining the interactions required by each group of engineers based on their gender, education degree, field of study, years of experience, current position either designer or contractor, and size of companies need to be studied further based on ADKAR steps.

6. Conclusions and Future Research Directions

To conclude, this paper aimed at exploring how BIM technology could be adopted in the construction projects using the ADKAR model of change management. The paper contributes to literature through the development of an integrated conceptual model of change management for successful adoption of BIM technology. Furthermore, it shows how to manage the different steps of ADKAR to direct the process of change considering different parties involved in construction projects. However, this research has some limitations that indicate various directions for future research. First, findings reported in this study might lack empirical generalisability to other construction industries in other countries; as qualitative data collected here came only from a single country context (i.e., the Palestinian construction industry). Therefore, future studies may seek to replicate this study using data from other construction industries in other countries to improve generalisability of results. Second, to the best of the researchers' knowledge, previous studies linking BIM technology implementation and ADKAR model for change management is absent. This has limited the ability of this study's findings to propose grounded theory development. Considering this, future studies are needed to conduct longitudinal studies that can capture quantitative changes to BIM implementation in terms of rate of successful projects and profitability as a result of the utilisation of the ADKAR Model of change management. Third, although this study is using a sample size of eight interviewees that is recommended by McCracken (1988) as adequate for producing perceptive themes from in-depth interviews, this sample size may limit the power of the findings reported. Therefore, future studies should test our conceptual model for BIM adoption by using larger sample size of interviewees.

References

- Allaoui, A. and Benmoussa, R. (2020), "Employees' attitudes toward change with Lean Higher Education in Moroccan public universities", *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, Vol. 33 No. 2, pp. 253-288. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JOCM-08-2018-0232>
- Azhar, N., Kang, Y., and Ahmad, I. U. (2014). "Factors influencing integrated project delivery in publicly owned construction projects: An information modelling perspective." *Procedia Eng.*, 77, 213–221.

- Barlish, K., Sullivan, K., 2012. How to measure the benefits of BIM — A case study approach. *Automation in Construction* 24, 149-159.
- Bin Zakaria, Z, Mohamed Ali, N, Tarmizi Haron, A, Marshall Ponting, AJ and Abd Hamid, Z (2013) Exploring the adoption of Building Information Modelling (BIM) in the Malaysian construction industry: A qualitative approach. *IJRET: International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*, Salford University, Vol. 02, Issue: 08, August, 2013. Retrieved from: <http://usir.salford.ac.uk/30827/>
- Boca Dana Gratiela (2013), ADKAR Model vs Quality Management Change. *Universitatea Technica Cluj-Napoca*.
- Budiwati, D. and Langi, A.Z.R. (2013) E-Learning Activity based on EMM and ADKAR Change Management for Elementary Schools, *Information Systems International Conference (ISICO)*, 2 – 4 December 2013.
- Burnes, B., & Cooke, B. (2013). Kurt Lewin's field theory: A review and re-evaluation. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 15, 408–425. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2370.2012.00348.x
- Bryde, D., Broquetas, M., and Volm, J.M. (2013) The project benefits of Building Information Modelling (BIM), *International Journal of Project Management*, Vol. 31, No.7, pp. 971-980.
- Bryman, A. and Bell, E. (2007). *Business research methods*. Oxford University Press, USA.
- Calder, A. M. (2013). *Organizational Change: Models for Successfully Implementing Change*. Published Thesis, Utah State University, Logan, USA. Available from: <https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1142&context=honors> [Accessed 15/02/2021]
- Canning, J. and Found, P. (2015) The effect of resistance in organizational change programmes, *International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences*, Vol.7, No.2/3, pp.274-295.
- Criminale, A. and Langar, S. (2017), "Challenges with BIM implementation: a review of literature", *Proceedings of 53rd Associated School of Construction International Conference*, Seattle, WA, pp. 5-8.
- Davis, F. (1989). Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319-340. doi:10.2307/249008
- Diaz, P.M. (2016), "Analysis of benefits, advantages and challenges of building information modelling in construction industry", *Journal of Advances in Civil Engineering*, Vol. 2 No. 2, pp. 1-11.
- Eastman, C., Teicholz, P., Sacks, R. and Liston, K. (2011). *BIM Handbook, a Guide to Building Information Modelling* 2nd Ed. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Ekstrom, Martin and Bjornsson, Hans (2004) *Information Technology and Purchasing Strategy: Two Necessary Enablers of More Efficient Construction Processes*. Stanford Digital Repository. Retrieved from: <http://purl.stanford.edu/vj216ct3146>.
- Gamil, Y. and Rahman, I.A. (2019) "Awareness and challenges of building information modelling (BIM) implementation in the Yemen construction industry", *Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology*, Vol. 17 No. 5, pp. 1077-1084.
- Gover, L. and Duxbury, L. (2012) *Organizational Faultlines: Social Identity Dynamics and Organizational Change*, *Journal of Change Management*, 12:1, 53-75, DOI: 10.1080/14697017.2011.652375
- Grilo, A., Jardim-Goncalves, R., 2010. Value proposition on interoperability of BIM and collaborative working environments. *Automation in Construction* 19 (5), 522-530.
- Hallberg, D., Tarandi, V., 2011. On the use of open bim and 4d visualisation in a predictive life cycle management system for construction works. *ITcon* 16, 445-466.

- Hamid, A.A., Taib, M.M., Razak, A.A. and Embi, M.R. (2018), "Building information modelling: challenges and barriers in implement of BIM for interior design industry in Malaysia", in IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, IOP Publishing, Vol. 140 No. 1, p. 012002.
- Hancock, B. (2010). Integrated Project Delivery: Managing Liability Risks for the Design Professional. Published Thesis (Field Project), University of Kansas. America.
- Hatem Wadhah A. Abd, Abbas M. , Abbas Nagham N. (2018) Barriers of Adoption Building Information Modeling(BIM) in Construction Projects of Iraq. ENGINEERING JOURNAL, Baquba Technical Institute, Middle Technical University, Baquba, Iraq. Vol. 22, Issue: 2, pp. 59-81. Retrieved from: <http://DOI:10.4186/ej.2018.22.2.59>.
- Hiatt, Jeffrey. (2006). ADKAR: a model for change in Business, Government, and our Community. Prosci Learning Center Publications.
- Hiatt, Jeffrey M. (2004). ADKAR: a model for change. Loveland, CO: Prosci Research.
- Hiatt, J. M. and Creasey T. J. (2012). **Change Management: The People Side of Change.** Prosci Learning Center Publications. 2nd Edition. <http://www.change-management.com/cmp/xQnRz/PilotPro2012/elearning/ChangeManagement-PDF-download-2nd-edition.pdf>.
- Hussain, S.T. et al. (2016) Kurt Lewin's change model: A critical review of the role of leadership and employee involvement in organizational change, Journal of Innovation and Knowledge, Vol.3, No.2018, pp.123-127.
- Isikdag Umit, Jason Underwood, Ghassan Aouad & Nigel Trodd (2007) Investigating the Role of Building Information Models as a Part of an Integrated Data Layer: A Fire Response Management Case, Architectural Engineering and Design Management, Vol.3, Issue:2, pp.124-142. Retrieved from: <http://doi:10.1080/17452007.2007.9684636>.
- Juan, Y. K., Lai, W. Y., and Shih, S. G. (2017). "Building information modelling acceptance and readiness assessment in Taiwanese architectural firms." Journal of Civil Engineering Management, Vol.23, No.3, pp. 356–367.
- Karp, T. and Helgo, T.I.T. (2008) From Change Management to Change Leadership: Embracing Chaotic Change in Public Service Organizations, Journal of Change Management, Vol.8, No.1, pp. 85-96.
- Kiani, I., Sadeghifam, A. N., Ghomi, S. K., and Marsono, A. K. B. (2015). "Barriers to implementation of building information modelling in scheduling and planning phase in Iran." Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci., 9(5), 91–97.
- Kotter, J. P. (1996). "Developing a Vision and Strategy". Leading Change. 72. Library of Congress Cataloging-In-Publication Data. Web. Feb 1. 2011.
- Kotter, J. P., & Schlesinger, L. A. (1979). Choosing strategies for change. Harvard Business Review, 57, 106–114. doi:10.1007/978-1-349-20317-8_21
- Kreitner, R., & Kinicki, A. (2007). **Organizational Behavior, 5th Edition.** New York, NY: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- Lee, S., Yu, J. & Jeong, D., 2013. BIM Acceptance Model in Construction Organizations. Journal of Management in Engineering, 31(1988), p.4014048. Available at: [http://ascelibrary.org/doi/abs/10.1061/\(ASCE\)ME.1943-5479.0000252](http://ascelibrary.org/doi/abs/10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000252).
- Lee, S.; Yu, J.; Jeong, D. BIM acceptance model in construction organizations. J. Manag. Eng. 2015, 31, 04014048.
- Lee, K., Sharif, M., Scandura, T. and Kim, J. (2017), "Procedural justice as a moderator of the relationship between organizational change intensity and commitment to organizational change", *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, Vol. 30 No. 4, pp. 501-524.

- Legrís, P.; Ingham, J.; Collerette, P. Why do people use information technology? A critical review of the technology acceptance model. *Inf. Manag.* **2003**, 40, 191–204.
- Lehmann, S. (2017) Bridging Strategies and Action: Towards a Method for Change Management in Danish Emergency Management Organizations, *Journal of Change Management*, 17:2, 138-154, DOI: [10.1080/14697017.2017.1301060](https://doi.org/10.1080/14697017.2017.1301060)
- Lewin, K. (1947), “Group decision and social change”, *Readings in Social Psychology*, Vol. 3, pp. 197-211.
- Lewin, K. (1951). *Field theory and experiment in social psychology*. In D. Cartwright (Eds.), *Field theory in social science*. London: Harper and Row.
- Liao, L. and Teo, E.A.L. (2018) Organizational Change Perspective on People Management in BIM Implementation in Building Projects. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, Vol.34, No.3, pp.1-13.
- Lindblad, Hannes. & Vass, Susanna. (2015). BIM implementation and organisational change: A case study of a large Swedish public client. *Science Direct, Procedia Economics and Finance, the school of Architecture and for Build Environment*, Vol. 21, Pages: 178-184. Sweden.
- Lowery, Michael S. (2010), "Change Management in a Dynamic Information Technology Environment: Inquiries into the Adkar Model for Change Management Results". All Regis University Theses. 126.
- Manis, K.T. and Choi, D. (2009) The virtual reality hardware acceptance model (VR-HAM): Extending and individuating the technology acceptance model (TAM) for virtual reality hardware, *Journal of Business Research*, Volume 100, PP. 503-513.
- Maes, G. and Van Hootegeem, G. (2019), "A systems model of organizational change", *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, Vol. 32 No. 7, pp. 725-738.
- McCracken, G.D. (1988). *The Long Interview*. Sage Publications, Newbury Park, CA.
- Meganathan, S. and Nandhini, N. (2018), “A review on challenges involved in implementing building information modeling in construction industry”, *International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology*, Vol. 5 No. 1, e-ISSN: 2395-0056.
- Musleh, K. (2018) Adopting BIM in Palestinian Construction Projects using ADKAR as an Integrative Model of Change Management. Master Thesis, An-Najah National University, Palestine.
- Pearce, W. B., & Cronen, V. E. (1980). *Communication, action, and meaning: The creation of social realities*. New York: Praeger.
- Sanchís-Pedregosa, C.; Vizcarra-Aparicio, J.; Leal-Rodríguez, A.L. (2020). BIM: a technology acceptance model in Peru. *Journal of Information Technology in Construction (ITcon)*, Vol. 25, pg. 99-108, DOI: [10.36680/j.itcon.2020.006](https://doi.org/10.36680/j.itcon.2020.006)
- Taylor, S.J. and Bogdan, R. (1984) *Introduction to Qualitative Research Methods: The Search for Meaning*. 2nd Edition, Wiley, Michigan.
- Thakur, R.R. and Srivastava, S. (2018), “From resistance to readiness: role of mediating variables”, *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, Vol. 31 No. 1, pp. 230-247.
- Vanlande, R., Nicolle, C., Cruz, C. (2008) *IFC and Buildings Lifecycle Management. Automation in Construction*, 2008, pp.70-78.
- Zahrizan, Z., Ali, N. M., Haron, A. T., Marshall-Ponting, A., and Hamid, Z. A. (2013). “Exploring the adoption of building information modelling (BIM) in the Malaysian construction industry: A qualitative approach.” *Int. J. Res. Eng. Technol.*, 2(8), 384–395.